HAROLD ROSENTHAL, SBN 68380 Attorney at Law 803 Hearst Avenue Berkeley, CA 94710 2 Tele: (510) 981-1800 3 Fax: (510) 981-1821 harold@haroldrosenthal.com 5 Attorney for Defendant DARRÝL MCQUILLION 6 7 8 9 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF 11 CALIFORNIA 11 12 Case No.: No. CR-01-00423-JSW THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 13 Plaintiff, 14 VS. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] **ORDER** 15 DARRYL MCQUILLION, 16 Defendant 17 The parties hereby stipulate that good cause exists to continue the currently 18 scheduled December 4, 2008 change of plea hearing until January 15, 2009 due to the 19 20 need for further preparation of counsel and the unavailability of counsel because of jury 21 selection set for December 4, 2008 in the case of U.S. v. Anyanwu, CR-07-0677. 22 The parties further agree that time continues to be excludable under 18 USC § 23 24 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B) as delay resulting from a continuance granted by a judge at the 25 request of the defendant and his counsel, based upon a finding that the ends of justice 26 served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in 27 28 a speedy trial. The reasons for such finding that the ends of justice served by the granting

of this continuance outweighs the best interests of the public and the defendant in a 1 speedy trial results from consideration of factors set forth at 18 USC Section 2 3 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv), insofar as the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably 4 deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for the hearing 5 presently set, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Specifically, this case 6 7 involves voluminous wiretaps and events that occurred many years ago. Defendant's 8 memory of these events is somewhat less vivid than it was in the period immediately 9 following the indictments, and counsel must review the government's plea offer and 11 11 supporting discovery to determine in conjunction with the defendant that there exists a 12 factual basis for the disposition contemplated by the parties. 13 It is so stipulated. 14 15 Dated: December 4, 2008 16 SUSAN JERICH 17 18 Attorney for Plaintiff United States of America 19 20 It is so stipulated. 21 Dated: December 4, 2008 22 23 24 HAROLD ROSENTHAL 25 Attorney for Defendant DARRYL 26 **MCQUILLION** 27 28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	It is so ORDERED, and the Court makes the findings set forth in the above
6	stipulation.
7	
8	Dated: December 4, 2008
9	Chill Rada
11	THE HOPOGRAPLY JEFFREY S. WHITE
11	Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California
12	Northern District of California
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	